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## Setting

Suppose we have a real-valued response $y_{i}$ and $p$ associated features $x^{(1)}, \ldots x^{(p)}$. A very simple multivariate model for the response given the features is the linear model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\beta_{1} x_{i}^{(1)}+\cdots+\beta_{p} x_{p}^{(p)}+\epsilon_{i} \quad i=1, \ldots, n . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$ are unkown parameters to be fit from the data. This document discusses estimation and testing of such models, and in particular we will rely heavily on (i) projection matrices and (ii) the rotational symmetry of the multivariate Gaussian in the following derivations.

## Fitting the model

Given the functional form (1), the first question is how one should the parameters $\beta$ ? To this end, a natural route forward is to write down a parametric distribution for $\epsilon_{i}$ and then fit by maximum likelihood. For now, we will assume i.i.d. Guassian residuals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{i} \stackrel{i . i . d .}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right) \quad i=1, \ldots, n . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Treating $\sigma$ as an unkown parameter, the log-likelihood becomes

$$
l(\beta, \sigma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{1} x_{i}^{(1)}+\cdots+\beta_{p} x_{p}^{(p)}\right)^{2}-n \log (\sigma) .
$$

Maximizing over $\beta$ is equivalent to the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}=\arg \min _{\beta}\|Y-X \beta\|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{n}\|Y-X \hat{\beta}\|^{2} .
$$

We can find an expression for $\beta$ by taking the gradient of the above expression with respect to $\beta$ and setting it to zero:

$$
0=\frac{\delta}{\delta \beta}(Y-X \beta)^{T}(Y-X \beta)=-2 X^{T} Y+2 X^{T} X \beta
$$

This leads to the expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}=\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} Y \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Interpretation as a projection

Notice that $X \hat{\beta}=X\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} Y$ and the matrix $P_{X}:=X\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the column space of $X$. Thus, the estimator (3) is finding the coefficients $\beta$ such that the predicted values $\hat{Y}$ are the vector closest to the observed $Y$ in euclidean distance that fall in the column space of $X$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \min _{\beta}\|Y-X \beta\|^{2} & =\arg \min _{\beta}\left\|\left(P_{X}+I-P_{X}\right) Y-\left(P_{X}+I-P_{X}\right) X \beta\right\|^{2} \\
& =\arg \min _{\beta} \|\left(P_{X}(Y-X \beta)+\left(I-P_{X}\right)(Y-X \beta) \|^{2}\right. \\
& =\arg \min _{\beta}\left\|P_{X}(Y-X \beta)\right\|^{2}+(Y-X \beta)^{T} P_{X}^{T}\left(I-P_{X}\right)(Y-X \beta)+\left\|\left(I-P_{X}\right)(Y-X \beta)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\arg \min _{\beta}\left\|P_{X} Y-X \beta\right\|^{2}+\left\|\left(I-P_{X}\right) Y\right\|^{2} \\
& =\arg \min _{\beta}\left\|P_{X} Y-X \beta\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this last expression is minimized by (4), since $P_{X}=X\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T}$ and plugging in the value gives 0 , which is clearly a minimizer since the expression is nonnegative. In this calculation, we used that $P_{X}\left(I-P_{X}\right)=0$, which is a consequence of the fact that $P_{X} P_{X}=P_{X}$. We also used the fact that $P_{X} X \beta=X \beta$, which follows from the definition of an orthogonal projection, and can also be verified directly using the explicit expression for $P_{X}$.

## Distribution of the estimator and residual

Notice that under the model (2), we can directly compute the sampling distribution of the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y & \sim \mathcal{N}\left(X \beta, \sigma^{2} I\right) \\
\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} Y & \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\beta,\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} \sigma^{2} I X\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\beta, \sigma^{2}\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can find distribution of the residual $\|Y-X \beta\|$ using multivariate Gaussian computations. In particular, we have:

$$
\|Y-X \hat{\beta}\|^{2}=\left\|Y-P_{X} Y\right\|^{2}=\left\|\left(I-P_{X}\right)(X \beta+\epsilon)\right\|^{2}=\left\|\left(I-P_{X}\right) \epsilon\right\|^{2}
$$

Using a change of basis, we can show that this has has a $\sigma^{2} \chi_{n-p}^{2}$ distribution. Geometrically, this is because $\left(I-P_{X}\right) \epsilon$ is simply a (spherical) multivariate Gaussian on a linear subspace of dimensions $n-p$.

## Testing submodels (the F-test)

A frequent goal is to test that some set of coefficients are all null and can be dropped from the model with a noticeable reduction in power. The most common is example is of course checking if a single coordinate is not equal to zero. Formally, suppose we have a matrix of features $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ where $X_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p_{1}}$ and $X_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p_{2}}$, and let $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$ be
the associated vector of coefficients, with $\beta_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_{1}}$ and $\beta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_{2}}$. We wish to test the null hypothesis $\beta_{2}=0$. To this end, we will decompose the vector $Y$ into 3 orthogonal parts:

$$
Y=P_{X_{1}} Y+\left(P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}-P_{X_{1}}\right) Y+\left(I-P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}\right) Y .
$$

Under the null distribution,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(I-P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}\right) Y\right\|^{2}=\left\|\left(I-P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}\right) \epsilon\right\|^{2} \sim \sigma^{2} \chi_{n-p_{1}-p_{2}}^{2}, \\
\left\|\left(P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}-P_{X_{1}}\right) Y\right\|^{2}=\left\|\left(P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}-P_{X_{1}}\right) \epsilon\right\|^{2} \sim \sigma^{2} \chi_{p_{2}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and these two variables are independent, since $\left(I-P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}\right) \epsilon$ and $\left(P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}-P_{X_{1}}\right) \epsilon$ are uncorrelated because $\left(I-P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}\right)\left(P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}-P_{X_{1}}\right)=0$. This means that the statistic

$$
T=\frac{\left\|\left(P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}-P_{X_{1}}\right) Y\right\|^{2} / p_{2}}{\left\|\left(I-P_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)}\right) Y\right\|^{2} /\left(n-p_{1}-p_{2}\right)} \sim F_{p_{2}, n-p_{1}-p_{2}},
$$

since the F-distribution is defined as the distribution of the scaled ratio of independent $\chi^{2}$ variables. This statistic will tend to be large when $X_{2}$ predicts $Y$ well, so we reject the null hypothesis that $\beta_{2}$ is 0 when $T$ is larger than the $1-\alpha$ quantile of the F distribution.

Notice that ANOVA is a special case of this test, where $X$ is a set of indicators of group memberships.

