# 6.S951 Modern Mathematical Statistics

Fall 2024

Lecture 3 — September 12, 2024

Prof. Stephen Bates

Scribe: Andrew Yao

# 1 Outline

# Agenda:

- 1. Minimax continued
- 2. Admissibility
- 3. Gaussian linear model
  - Bayes and minimax (and sufficiency!)

## Last time:

- 1. Statistical decision theory framework
- 2. Sufficiency
- 3. Bayes-optimal estimators
- 4. Minimax optimality
  - Hardness lower bound via Bayes
  - Bare-hands upper bound (come up with estimator that hits lower bound)

So far the goal has been to develop formal language to discuss statistical problems.

# 2 Minimax continued

Minimax risk is always bigger than Bayes risk.

**Corollary 1** (Bayes with constant risk over  $\Theta$  is minimax). Let  $A^*$  be Bayes optimal with respect to Q. If  $R(A^*; \theta)$  is constant in  $\theta$  then  $A^*$  is minimax optimal.

Proof.  $R_M(A^*) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(A^*; \theta) = \int_{\theta \in \Theta} R(A^*; \theta) dQ(\theta) = R_B(A^*; Q)$  and  $R_M(A) \ge R_B(A; Q) \ge R_B(A^*; Q)$  for all estimators A.

**Example (binomial minimax).** Suppose  $X \sim \text{Binom}(n, \theta)$  and  $L(a, \theta) = (a - \theta)^2$ . Suppose  $A^{\text{mean}}(x) = \frac{x}{n}$ . Then  $R(A^{\text{mean}}; \theta) = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$  because  $A^{\text{mean}}$  is unbiased. This estimator is not minimax so we want to improve upon it.

Consider an estimator  $A'(x) = a\frac{x}{n} + b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$R(A';\theta) = \text{variance} + \text{bias}^2 = \text{Var}(A') + (\mathbb{E}[A'(x)] - \theta)^2 = \frac{a^2}{n}\theta(1-\theta) + ((a-1)\theta + b)^2$$

Choose  $a = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n+1}}$  and  $b = \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{n+1})}$ . Observe that  $R(A'; \theta) = \frac{1}{4(\sqrt{n+1})^2}$  for all  $\theta$ . Furthermore,

$$A'(x) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n+1}} \cdot \frac{x}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

is a convex combination of  $\frac{x}{n}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Observe that A' is Bayes when  $Q \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\right)$ . From Corollary 1, A' is minimax optimal. Figure 1 depicts the risk values for  $A^{\text{mean}}$  and A' for a large value of n.



Figure 1: The red curve is  $R(A^{\text{mean}}; \theta)$  and the blue line is  $R(A'; \theta)$ . The value of *n* depicted is n = 225. As *n* increases the blue line will approach the vertex of the red curve.

# 3 Admissibility

**Definition 2.** An estimator A is *inadmissible* if there exists A' such that  $R(A'; \theta) \leq R(A; \theta)$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$  and there exists  $\theta \in \Theta$  such that  $R(A'; \theta) < R(A; \theta)$ . Furthermore, A is *admissible* if

A is not inadmissible.

**Theorem 3.** A unique Bayes estimator  $A^*$  with respect to prior Q is admissible.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A^*$  is not admissible for the sake of contradiction. Then there exists  $A \neq A^*$  such that  $R(A;\theta) \leq R(A^*;\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Then

$$R_B(A;Q) = \int R(A;\theta) dQ(\theta) = \int R(A^*;\theta) dQ(\theta) = R_B(A^*;Q).$$

Since  $A \neq A^*$ , this contradicts the uniqueness of  $A^*$ .

Example (Gaussian mean).  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{median}}$  is not admissible since  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{median}}$  is dominated by  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{mean}}$ . However,  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{reg}}$  is admissible by Theorem 3 because the estimator is Bayes. Furthermore  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{mean}}$  is admissible although the proof is not obvious.

#### Gaussian linear model 4

#### 4.1 Model

Suppose 
$$Y_i = Z_i^T \theta + \varepsilon_i$$
,  $\varepsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , and  $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is fixed for  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $\theta \in \Theta = \mathbb{R}^d$ . In matrix form,  $\vec{Y} = Z\theta + \vec{\varepsilon}$  where  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vec{\varepsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} -z_1^T - \\ \vdots \\ -z_n^T - \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ .  
Equivalently  $\vec{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}(Z\theta, \sigma^2 L_n)$ 

Equivalently,  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(Z\theta, \sigma^2 I_n)$ .

Example (Least-squares estimator (OLS)).  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{LS}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} ||Y - Z\theta||_2^2 = (Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T Y.$ Observe  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  implies that  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{LS}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$  so the least-squares estimator generalizes the

mean estimator.

**Proposition 4.**  $\hat{\theta}^{LS}$  is the maximum likelihood estimator in the Gaussian linear model.

*Proof.* First observe that  $P_{\theta}(y) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - z_i^T\theta)^2\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\|Y - Z\theta\|_2^2\right)$  and then use the previous example. 

**Proposition 5.**  $\hat{\theta}^{LS} \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, (Z^T Z)^{-1} \sigma^2).$ 

*Proof.*  $\hat{\theta}^{LS}$  is multivariate Gaussian because it is a linear function of the multivariate Gaussian distribution Y. We have that

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}^{\text{LS}}] = \mathbb{E}[(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T Y] = (Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T \mathbb{E}[Y] = (Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T Z \theta = \theta,$$
  

$$\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\theta}^{\text{LS}}] = \operatorname{Var}[(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T (Z \theta + \varepsilon)] = (Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T Z (Z^T Z)^{-1} \sigma^2 = (Z^T Z)^{-1} \sigma^2,$$

which finishes the proof.

### 4.2 Anderson's lemma

**Definition 6.** A set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is symmetric if -S = S. A function  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is bowl-shaped if its level sets  $\{\theta : \ell(\theta) \leq c\}$  are convex and symmetric for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Example.** Examples of bowl-shaped functions are  $\ell(x) = ||x||_2^2$  and  $\ell(x) = ||x||_1$ . Furthermore there exist non-convex functions f such that  $\ell(x) = f(||x||_2)$  is bowl-shaped.

**Theorem 7** (Anderson's lemma). Suppose  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is bowl-shaped and  $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $R_1(x) = \mathbb{E}[\ell(x + \vec{\varepsilon})]$  is minimized at x = 0.

*Proof.* The convex case is straightforward. For the general case please refer to the textbook.  $\Box$ 

### 4.3 Bayes in Gaussian linear model

Suppose  $Q \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2 I_d)$  is the prior and  $L(a, \theta) = \ell(a - \theta)$  for bowl-shaped  $\ell$  is the loss function. The posterior is

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta|Y) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|Y - Z\theta\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2\tau^2} \|\theta\|_2^2\right).$$

- 1. Note that  $\log(\mathbb{P}(\theta|Y)) = C \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ||Y Z\theta||_2^2 \frac{1}{2\tau^2} ||\theta||_2^2$ , where C is a constant, is a quadratic in  $\theta$  so the posterior is Gaussian.
- 2. Since the posterior is Gaussian, its mean is its mode. Denote this quantity by  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{posterior}}$ . We have that

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{posterior}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|Y - Z\theta\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2\tau^2} \|\theta\|_2^2 \right) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( \|Y - Z\theta\|_2^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2} \|\theta\|_2^2 \right),$$

which is a ridge-regression problem. Denotes its solution by  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{ridge}}$ .

3. To compute the posterior variance, we only need to inspect the degree two terms of  $\log(\mathbb{P}(\theta|Y))$ . Particularly,

$$\log(\mathbb{P}(\theta|Y)) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\theta^T z^T z\theta - \frac{1}{2\tau^2}\theta^T \theta + c_1^T \theta + c_2$$

for some constants  $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, the posterior variance, which we denote by  $\Sigma_{\tau} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , is  $\Sigma_{\tau} = \left(\frac{Z^T Z}{\sigma^2} + \frac{I_d}{\tau^2}\right)^{-1}$ .

# 5 Conclusions

- 1.  $\theta | Y$  has distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\hat{\theta}^{\mathrm{ridge}}, \Sigma_{\tau})$ .
- 2. The Bayes optimal estimator satisfies  $\hat{\theta}^{\text{Bayes}} = \hat{\theta}^{\text{ridge}}$  by Anderson's lemma.
- 3. The Bayes risk equals  $R_B(\hat{\theta}^{\text{Bayes}}) = \mathbb{E}[\ell(\Sigma_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}}W)]$ , where  $W \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$ .